

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2137 TFW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,240		07/31/2001	Daryl Carvis Cromer	RPS9 2000 0079	2810
53493	7590	10/12/2005		EXAMINER	
		PORE) PTE. LTD.	PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J		
	BUILDING 675, MAIL C-137 4401 SILICON DRIVE				PAPER NUMBER
DURHAM,	NC 277	09	2137		
•	·			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED OIPE/IAP

NOV 0 8 2005

]							
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/919,240	CROMER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Pyzocha	2137					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) \boxtimes Responsive to communication(s) filed on \underline{C}	08 August 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-25 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	drawn from consideration.	,					
Application Papers		· •					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya prrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-5 and 7-25 are pending.

2. Amendment filed 08/08/2005 with a request for continued examination has been received and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7, 11-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sibert (U.S. 6,832,316), further in view of Tello (U.S. 6,463,537), and further in view of Langford (U.S. 6,507,911).

As per claim 1, Sibert discloses a method providing security for a plurality of data records stored on a computer-readable medium within a computing system, wherein said computer readable medium additionally stores a first data structure, starting at a first location within said computer readable medium, locating data records in said plurality thereof, said

Art Unit: 2137

method a decryption subroutine executed as said computing system is being initialized, said decryption subroutine includes determining that electrical power has been turned on in said computing system, reading said encrypted version of said first data structure from said nonvolatile storage, decrypting said encrypted version of said first data structure to form said first data structure (see column 6 lines 55-67) and a method for encrypting (see column 5 lines 41-67).

Sibert fails to disclose the encryption subroutine includes receiving a request to shut down said computing system, reading said first data structure from said computer readable medium, encrypting said first data structure to produce an encrypted version of said first data structure, using a public key encryption scheme and the encryption being done to prevent reading information stored in data records when the medium is removed from the system.

However, Tello teaches performing tasks at shut down (see column 14 lines 1-41) and public key encryption (see column 8 lines 34-40) and the encryption being done to prevent reading information stored in data records when the medium is removed from the system (see column 4 line 38 through column 5 line 14).

Application/Control Number: 09/919,240

Art Unit: 2137

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to perform Sibert's method of encryption at shut down as taught by Tello.

Motivation to do so would have been to hide data storage devices (see Tello column 14 lines 1-41).

The modified Sibert and Tello system fails to disclose, as a part of the encryption method, deleting said first data structure from said computer readable medium, and storing said encrypted version of said first data structure in nonvolatile storage, starting at a second location within said nonvolatile storage, and, as a part of the decryption method, writing said data structure to said computer readable medium, starting at said first location.

However, Langford teaches such a replacement method (see column 4 line 63 through column 5 line 18).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Langford's method of overwriting in the modified Sibert and Tello system.

Motivation to do so would have been to allow no plaintext of the original data to be present (see Langford column 5 lines 1-18).

As per claims 2-3, the modified Sibert, Tello and Langford system discloses the second location is at the first location on

the readable medium (see Langford column 4 line 63 through column 5 line 18).

As per claim 4, the modified Sibert, Tello and Langford system discloses the nonvolatile storage is a memory structure, separate from said computer readable medium, with said computing system (see Sibert column 6 lines 55-67).

As per claim 5, the modified Sibert, Tello and Langford system discloses encryption of said first data structure occurs within a cryptographic processor in said computing system using an encryption key, said cryptographic processor is separate from a system processor within said computing system, and decryption of said encrypted version of said first data structure occurs within said cryptographic processor in said computing system using a decryption key generated from data stored in secure storage accessed by said cryptographic processor (see Sibert column 5 lines 49-56 and column 6 lines 61-67 where the "cryptographic circuitry" is the processor).

As per claim 7, the modified Sibert, Tello and Langford system discloses the encrypted version of said first data structure is equal in length to said first data structure (see Langford column 4 line 62 through column 5 line 18).

As per claims 11-12, the modified Sibert, Tello and Langford system discloses said method additionally comprises a

configuration subroutine providing a user interface for setting and resetting a configuration bit, and said encryption subroutine is executed according to a state of said configuration bit and said encryption subroutine additionally includes setting a flag bit in non-volatile storage, and said decryption subroutine is executed only when said flag bit is set (see Tello column 14 lines 34-40 where it is inherent that a bit is set for encryption and decryption should only happen for encrypted data).

As per claims 13 and 19, the modified Sibert, Tello and Langford system discloses a method providing security for a plurality of data records stored on a computer readable medium within a computing system, wherein said computer medium additionally stores a first data structure starting at a first location within said removable computer readable medium, locating data records in said plurality thereof, said method comprises an encryption subroutine executed to encrypt said first data structure and a decryption subroutine subsequently executed to decrypt an encrypted version of said first data structure, said encryption subroutine includes reading said first data structure from said computer readable medium, encrypting said first data structure within a cryptographic processor in said computing system using an encryption key to

Application/Control Number: 09/919,240

Art Unit: 2137

produce an encrypted version of said first data structure, deleting said first data structure from said computer readable medium, and storing said encrypted version of said first data structure in nonvolatile storage, starting at a second location within said nonvolatile storage, and said decryption subroutine includes reading said encrypted version of said first data structure from said nonvolatile storage, decrypting said encrypted version of said first data structure within said cryptographic processor in said computing system using a decryption key generated from data stored in secure storage accessed by said cryptographic processor to form said first data structure, and writing said data structure to said computer readable medium, starting at said first location (see rejection of claim 5) with the prevention of reading records when the medium is removed from the system (see Tello as applied to claim 1).

Claims 14-16 and 20-22 are rejected as in claims 1, 11-12 respectively.

As per claims 17-18 and 23-24, the modified Sibert, Tello and Langford system discloses a cryptographic selection subroutine providing a graphical user interlace, said cryptographic selection subroutine includes displaying a choice between encryption and decryption, displaying representations of

computer readable medium in said computing system, and receiving a cryptographic selection signal indicative of whether encryption or decryption is to occur and of a chosen computer readable medium, said encryption subroutine is executed in response to receiving cryptographic selection signal indicating encryption is to occur, with said first data structure of said chosen computer readable medium being encrypted, and said decryption subroutine is executed in response to receiving a cryptographic selection signal indicating decryption is to occur, and with said encrypted version of said first data structure of said chosen computer readable medium being decrypted wherein said encrypted version of said first data structure is stored in nonvolatile storage on said chosen computer readable medium (see Langford column 6 lines 52-67 for the GUI and the encryption/decryption and hard drives as in Tello and Sibert applied to previous claims).

1. Claims 8-9 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Sibert, Tello and Langford system as applied to claims 1 and 19 above, and further in view of Robinson et al (U.S. 5,544,356).

As per claims 8-9 and 25, the modified Sibert, Tello and Langford system fails to disclose the computer readable medium additionally stores a second data structure, starting at a

Application/Control Number: 09/919,240

Art Unit: 2137

second location within said computer readable medium, describing characteristics of said first data structure, and said encryption subroutine additionally includes reading said second data structure to determine characteristics of said first data structure wherein said first data structure is a file allocation table, and said second data structure is a boot record.

However, Robinson et al teaches a boot record describing the file allocation table (see column 1 line 64 through column 2 line 4).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the modified Sibert, Tello and Langford system to encrypt Robinson et al's file allocation table.

Motivation to do so would have been that the boot record includes the number of copies of the file allocation table (see Robinson et al column 1 line 64 through column 2 line 4).

5. Claims 8, 10 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Sibert, Tello and Langford system as applied to claims 1 and 19 above, and further in view of Starek et al (U.S. 6,070,174).

As per claims 8, 10 and 25, the modified Sibert, Tello and Langford system fails to disclose the computer readable medium additionally stores a second data structure, starting at a

second location within said computer readable medium, describing characteristics of said first data structure, and said encryption subroutine additionally includes reading said second data structure to determine characteristics of said first data structure wherein said first data structure includes an array of file records in a master file table of a NTFS file, and said second data structure includes metafile data in said master file table.

However, Starek et al teaches such data structures (see column 10 lines 29-51).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the modified Sibert, Tello and Langford system to encrypt Starek et al's file array.

Motivation to do so would have been that the metafile describe the file system structure (see column 10 lines 29-51).

6. Claims 1-5 and 7-25 are rejected as above but in view of JP2001202167A, which discloses a control method for a computer, involves encrypting and decoding data on memory based on power supply ON/OFF.

Art Unit: 2137

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/08/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues: Sibert and Tello fail to disclose the use of public key encryption and encrypting to prevent reading information stored in data records when the medium is removed from the system. However Tello discloses the use of public key encryption (see column 8 lines 34-40) and the encryption being done to prevent reading information stored in data records when the medium is removed from the system (see column 4 line 38 through column 5 line 14).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pyzocha whose telephone number is (571) 272-3875. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm first Fridays of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2137

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJP

EMMANUEL L. MOISE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Bldg./Room_ U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Organization_

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. BOX 1450

ALEXANDRIA; VA 22313-1450

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS



MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314

0004205065

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

UND OE WEAD OF OR AS A DORESSED

USPTO MAIL CENTER NOV 7 2005

BEST AVAILABLE COPY